

## College of Information Science and Technology



Drexel E-Repository and Archive (iDEA)

<http://idea.library.drexel.edu/>

Drexel University Libraries

[www.library.drexel.edu](http://www.library.drexel.edu)

The following item is made available as a courtesy to scholars by the author(s) and Drexel University Library and may contain materials and content, including computer code and tags, artwork, text, graphics, images, and illustrations (Material) which may be protected by copyright law. Unless otherwise noted, the Material is made available for non profit and educational purposes, such as research, teaching and private study. For these limited purposes, you may reproduce (print, download or make copies) the Material without prior permission. All copies must include any copyright notice originally included with the Material. **You must seek permission from the authors or copyright owners for all uses that are not allowed by fair use and other provisions of the U.S. Copyright Law.** The responsibility for making an independent legal assessment and securing any necessary permission rests with persons desiring to reproduce or use the Material.

Please direct questions to [archives@drexel.edu](mailto:archives@drexel.edu)

# Adapting the Cognitive Walkthrough Method to Assess the Usability of a Knowledge Domain Visualization

Kenneth Allendoerfer - kra25@drexel.edu  
 Serge Aluker - sma33@drexel.edu, Gulshan Panjwani - gkp24@drexel.edu,  
 Jason Proctor - jp338@drexel.edu, David Sturtz - dns24@drexel.edu,  
 Mirjana Vukovic - mv339@drexel.edu, & Chaomei Chen - Chaomei.Chen@school.drexel.edu

The iSchool at Drexel  
 College of Information Science and Technology

## Abstract

The usability of knowledge domain visualization (KDViz) tools can be assessed at several levels. At the user interface level, how easily users can learn to navigate and use a tool can be examined. At the user performance or outcome level, how successfully a tool helps users accomplish their tasks also can be investigated. Cognitive Walkthrough (CW) is a well-known usability inspection method that focuses on how easily users can learn software through exploration. Typical applications of CW follow structured tasks where user goals are reasonably well-defined and action sequences leading to those goals are known. KDViz and other information visualization tools, however, are typically designed for users to explore the data being visualized and user goals, tasks, and actions are harder to define. Users may be working to achieve broad, unstructured goals and may be engaged in multiple, evolving tasks simultaneously. Creating meaningful action sequences for these systems for use in CWs is challenging. In this paper, we describe how the traditional CW method may be adapted for assessing the usability of these systems. We apply our adaptation of CW to CiteSpace, a KDViz tool that uses co-citation and other bibliometric analyses to create visualizations of scientific literatures. We describe usability issues identified by the CW and discuss how CiteSpace supported the completion of tasks, such as identifying research fronts, and the achievement of goals. Finally, we discuss improvements to the adapted CW and issues to be addressed before applying it to a wider range of KDViz systems.

## Fictive User

Before the CW begins, evaluators create a "fictive user" who they will pretend to be during the walkthrough. The more thorough the fictive user description, the more easily evaluators can put aside their own knowledge and biases.

**Education**  
 Is a graduate student pursuing a master's degree in information science. Is currently taking a graduate seminar on information visualization.

**Relevant work experience**  
 Has 2-3 years experience designing information systems, primarily databases accessed through web browsers. This experience included graphical and user interface design work. This experience also included some programming and administration.

**Experience with user interface design and usability assessment**  
 Has done his doctorate research in human-computer interaction and has designed user interfaces as part of class projects and professional work.

**Operating systems and software packages used frequently (at least once a week)**  
 Microsoft Windows XP, Apple OS X, Microsoft Office (Word, Excel, PowerPoint), Microsoft Outlook, Microsoft Internet Explorer, Mozilla Firefox, Apple iTunes, Microsoft Media Player, Adobe Photoshop, Adobe Illustrator, Macromedia Dreamweaver.

**Experience with bibliometrics, co-citation analysis and related LIS topics**  
 Has been exposed to these topics in reading and coursework. Has conducted several bibliometric analyses as part of class projects.

**Experience using CiteSpace or other tools for visualizing literatures/datasets**  
 Has seen demonstrations of CiteSpace and several other information visualization tools during class but has not used one to create a visualization. Has not used CiteSpace or a similar tool to visualize a literature in support of a class or professional research project.

**Experience with digital libraries and datasets such as ISI Web of Science, LEIS-NEXIS, Dialog, etc.**  
 Uses Web of Science and JCR Digital Library several weekly for research to support class projects.

**Experience with social networking literature**  
 Key life's experience with social networking literature. Has heard the term occasionally discussed during coursework and has read one short, general textbook chapter about the field. Has never taken a course, attended literature works, or written a term paper about social networking. Does not know names of important authors, papers, or journals in the field. Does not have any "hot topics" in social networking.

## User Tasks for Session

Evaluators are given a larger goal of preparing to write a term paper about the social networking literature. To do this, we asked them to complete the following tasks:

1. Identify important clusters or research areas in the domain
2. For the important clusters, identify critical authors, terms, and papers that serve to characterize or describe the cluster
3. From the important clusters, identify new and active ones that may constitute a research front or revolution in the domain
4. Identify important connections between clusters.


Original CW Method	Adapted CW Method for KDViz
Will the user be trying to achieve the right effect?	What effect was the user trying to achieve by selecting this action?
Will the user know that the correct action is available?	How did the user know that this action was available?
Will the user know that the correct action will achieve the desired effect?	Did the selected action achieve the desired effect?
If the correct action is taken, will the user see that things are going ok?	When the action was selected, could the user determine how things were going?

**Table 1.** Because KDViz systems are exploratory and the "correct" sequence of actions is not defined, the standard CW questions must be modified. The left column presents the traditional CW questions. The right column shows the adapted versions of these questions used in the study.

## Document Co-Citation Analysis

Wasserman, S., Faust, K., Iacobucci, D., & Granovetter, M. (Eds.) (1994). *Social Network Analysis: Methods and Applications*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Granovetter, M. S. (1973). The strength of weak ties. *American Journal of Sociology*, 78(6), 1360-1380.




**Figure 1.** The main CiteSpace screen that the evaluators used. The visualization is the colorful area in the center. The area on the right controls important parameters. The area on the bottom is a readout area when nodes are selected and more information about the node is needed.

## Results

- Evaluator/users were able to identify
  - Important clusters in domain
  - Important works, authors, terms in the prominent clusters
  - New & active clusters (research fronts)
  - Connections between clusters
- About 2 hours to complete
- Identify several usability problems
  - Provide information to CiteSpace developer
- Expert reviewed evaluators' conclusions about the domain, judged to be accurate & reasonable

## Future Work

- Now we have one "correct" action sequence for social-networking literature
  - Could rerun with more traditional CW questions
  - Better sequences for this literature; how applicable to others?
- How can KDViz communicate limitations of the underlying data?
- Communicate capabilities of the tool?
- How to support examination of cause-effect?
- How to encourage good strategies without restricting exploration & interactivity?
- How can CW better handle systems where the "correct" action sequence is hard to determine?
- How can CW better handle systems where the goal is exploration & enjoyment?
- How can CW better handle systems where the goal is social interaction?



**Figure 2.** The yellow area is a cluster of recently published articles in the small-world-networks cluster. Documents in this cluster cite each other frequently and were all published at about the same time.



**Figure 3.** This shows a connecting document (Wellman, 1990) that creates a connection between two large structures in the field. Evaluators were able to identify this document without prior knowledge of the social networking field.